

Olivet Nazarene University

## Digital Commons @ Olivet

---

The Kankakee Daily Journal

University Archives

---

6-17-1941

### June 17, 1941 (Tuesday) Kankakee Republican-News

Kankakee Daily Journal

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.olivet.edu/dj>

---

#### Recommended Citation

Kankakee Daily Journal, "June 17, 1941 (Tuesday) Kankakee Republican-News" (1941). *The Kankakee Daily Journal*. 1783.

<https://digitalcommons.olivet.edu/dj/1783>

This News Article is brought to you for free and open access by the University Archives at Digital Commons @ Olivet. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Kankakee Daily Journal by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Olivet. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@olivet.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@olivet.edu).









## Legislative Leaders Try To Break Deadlock

Aim Of Filibuster Is To Prevent Tax Inquiry In Chicago

**BULLETIN**  
Springfield, Ill., June 17.—P.—The Senate bill for a state legislative investigation of Cook county local government was defeated today in the Illinois house. The bill received only 77 votes with 77 required for passage.

**By DON HYNDMAN**  
Springfield, Ill., June 17.—P.—Governor Green's legislative leaders today in an effort to break up a Democratic filibuster that gripped both houses of the Illinois general assembly, virtually tying up all major legislation with the end of the session only two weeks away.

Veteran legislators described the filibuster as the most complete and effective in over ten years. Its purpose was to block passage of the Schackenberg bill—strongly supported by Governor Green—in a special house-senate commission to investigate the tax levels of Democratic-controlled local governments in Cook County.

**Republicans Gather**  
Republican efforts to crack the parliamentary deadlock centered in the house where Governor Green's forces carried on an intensive effort to round up their membership in order to have every available vote on the house floor for today's session.

The GOP leadership arranged to bring one member to the statehouse in a wheelchair from St. John's hospital. He was Rep. J. Ward Smith (R-Cicero), who has been in hospital patient for several weeks since he sustained a broken hip in an accident.

Counting Representative Smith, the Republicans hoped to have the 77 votes required to pass the tax inquiry bill in spite of the filibuster. Republicans held a caucus at 10:30 a. m. to discuss the situation.

**Years and his Democratic colleagues** did this by demanding that the bill be read in full by the house clerk, even when they were only to be advanced on the calendar.

Members stood up to demand that the bill be read in full by the house clerk, even when they were only to be advanced on the calendar.

Members stood up to demand that the bill be read in full by the house clerk, even when they were only to be advanced on the calendar.

Members stood up to demand that the bill be read in full by the house clerk, even when they were only to be advanced on the calendar.

Members stood up to demand that the bill be read in full by the house clerk, even when they were only to be advanced on the calendar.

Members stood up to demand that the bill be read in full by the house clerk, even when they were only to be advanced on the calendar.

Members stood up to demand that the bill be read in full by the house clerk, even when they were only to be advanced on the calendar.

Members stood up to demand that the bill be read in full by the house clerk, even when they were only to be advanced on the calendar.

Members stood up to demand that the bill be read in full by the house clerk, even when they were only to be advanced on the calendar.

## Brief Local News

### TO DEBATE STATE

Valerie Lawrence of Kankakee, assistant legislative leader of the Illinois House of Representatives, today announced that she will introduce a bill for a state legislative investigation of Cook county local government.

**INITIAL LIES**  
A Garfield County resident local members of the House and Senate today introduced a bill for a state legislative investigation of Cook county local government.

**INFANT CHRISTENED**  
The infant daughter of Mr. and Mrs. William A. Brown, born June 15 at St. John's hospital, was christened today.

**SECURE POSITION**  
Miss Clara Mae Chubb, daughter of Mr. and Mrs. W. A. Chubb, was named to a position in the office of the City National bank.

**SON IS BORN**  
A son, Lawrence Arthur, was born last Wednesday at St. Mary's hospital to Mr. and Mrs. L. A. Arthur.

**WED IN MISSOURI**  
Elwood L. Denton of Wilcox, Mo., was married today at Kankakee, Mo., by the Rev. C. W. H. H. H.

**TO COLORADO COLLEGE**  
Miss Marion Leander, 24, of South Lincoln, Colo., will attend Colorado college.

**EASTERN GUESTS**  
Mr. and Mrs. William C. Lott, 788 River drive, entertained Mr. and Mrs. Walter Barber of New York City and family.

**BIKES FOUND**  
Three bicycles were reported stolen yesterday by the police. They were found on North Chicago street.

**MILITARY RITES**  
Military funeral services for Mrs. M. J. McManis will be held at 2 o'clock Wednesday morning at St. John's church.

**40 & 8 MEETING**  
The 40 & 8 society will be held Wednesday evening at the Legion home.

**POST NOTICE**  
Three copies of notice of intention to marry Monday in the office of the county clerk.

**STUDENT SPONSORS**  
Two Kankakee girls, Miss Jacqueline Schmitt, 213 South Greenview, and Miss Doris Marie Schmitt, 1224 Cook boulevard, are sponsoring a dance.

**TO RED CROSS SCHOOL**  
Miss Brenda Mann, daughter of Mr. and Mrs. Arthur S. Mann, 841 South Greenview, is attending the Red Cross school.

**BAR PICNIC**  
Tomorrow the members of the bar will have a picnic at the shore.

**NAVY RECRUITS**  
A recruiting officer from the navy will be at the Kankakee postoffice from 2:30 a. m. to 3 p. m. on Friday.

**CASE DENIMISHED**  
J. B. Hunter and James Finlon, both colored and residing at 1400 East Willow street, were arrested yesterday by police on complaint of Hunter's sister Pauline, who charged that they had been molesting her.

## Intelligence Officers Seek Slayer Of Girl

Body Discovered In Garage Of Apartment House In Washington

Washington, June 17.—(UP)—The intelligence division of the U. S. Army today announced that it had discovered the body of a girl in the garage of an apartment house in Washington.

The girl, who was identified as a member of the intelligence division, was found in the garage of an apartment house in Washington.

The girl, who was identified as a member of the intelligence division, was found in the garage of an apartment house in Washington.

The girl, who was identified as a member of the intelligence division, was found in the garage of an apartment house in Washington.

The girl, who was identified as a member of the intelligence division, was found in the garage of an apartment house in Washington.

The girl, who was identified as a member of the intelligence division, was found in the garage of an apartment house in Washington.

The girl, who was identified as a member of the intelligence division, was found in the garage of an apartment house in Washington.

The girl, who was identified as a member of the intelligence division, was found in the garage of an apartment house in Washington.

The girl, who was identified as a member of the intelligence division, was found in the garage of an apartment house in Washington.

The girl, who was identified as a member of the intelligence division, was found in the garage of an apartment house in Washington.

The girl, who was identified as a member of the intelligence division, was found in the garage of an apartment house in Washington.

The girl, who was identified as a member of the intelligence division, was found in the garage of an apartment house in Washington.

The girl, who was identified as a member of the intelligence division, was found in the garage of an apartment house in Washington.

The girl, who was identified as a member of the intelligence division, was found in the garage of an apartment house in Washington.

The girl, who was identified as a member of the intelligence division, was found in the garage of an apartment house in Washington.

The girl, who was identified as a member of the intelligence division, was found in the garage of an apartment house in Washington.

## Persons

### Supreme Court Gives Okay To Bank Amendment

Other Opinions Are Handed Down By High State Court

Springfield, Ill., June 17.—P.—The Illinois supreme court today gave its opinion on the bank amendment to the state banking act.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

## Supreme Court Gives Okay To Bank Amendment

Other Opinions Are Handed Down By High State Court

Springfield, Ill., June 17.—P.—The Illinois supreme court today gave its opinion on the bank amendment to the state banking act.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

## Supreme Court Gives Okay To Bank Amendment

Other Opinions Are Handed Down By High State Court

Springfield, Ill., June 17.—P.—The Illinois supreme court today gave its opinion on the bank amendment to the state banking act.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

## Supreme Court Gives Okay To Bank Amendment

Other Opinions Are Handed Down By High State Court

Springfield, Ill., June 17.—P.—The Illinois supreme court today gave its opinion on the bank amendment to the state banking act.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

## Supreme Court Gives Okay To Bank Amendment

Other Opinions Are Handed Down By High State Court

Springfield, Ill., June 17.—P.—The Illinois supreme court today gave its opinion on the bank amendment to the state banking act.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

## Supreme Court Gives Okay To Bank Amendment

Other Opinions Are Handed Down By High State Court

Springfield, Ill., June 17.—P.—The Illinois supreme court today gave its opinion on the bank amendment to the state banking act.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

## Supreme Court Gives Okay To Bank Amendment

Other Opinions Are Handed Down By High State Court

Springfield, Ill., June 17.—P.—The Illinois supreme court today gave its opinion on the bank amendment to the state banking act.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

## Supreme Court Gives Okay To Bank Amendment

Other Opinions Are Handed Down By High State Court

Springfield, Ill., June 17.—P.—The Illinois supreme court today gave its opinion on the bank amendment to the state banking act.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in a 4-3 decision, upheld the amendment, which would allow banks to accept deposits from non-residents.

The court, in















